



THE FOUNDATION FOR ECONOMIC EDUCATION, INC.

IRVINGTON-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK 10533

(914) 591-7230

December 31, 1985

Dr. Hans Sennholz
200 East Pine
Grove City, Pa. 16127

Dear Hans,

First, enclosed is a copy of the January issue. I hope that you and Mary like it. I know that you have been rather skeptical. While the new format may take a bit getting use to, I do think that its readability and ease of use will make a very favorable impression. And I expect that the new format and design will help attract the new readers and supporters that we need and have often been put off by the old format. The market will determine whether we are right, of course.

With the holidays and the new issue, it has taken us a while to go over your article on unemployment compensation. Paul, Joan and I have gone over it and they have made some helpful suggestions. I have worked quite a bit on it.

It is a good article on a timely subject. I would like to use it. As you admitted when you gave it to me, it is also quite long. So I had the unenviable job of trying to make editing suggestions that will cut it down to a more appropriate size for The Freeman (which meant going from about 5,400 words to closer to 3,000).

I suggest an article closer to 3,400 words. Because this manuscript will also appear in book form, I felt that some details and expansions of topics could be deleted for our purposes in The Freeman. I think that what I am suggesting to you is a very good, succinct article which covers the subject well and meets the space constraints that are the bane of authors and editors.

I have enclosed for your consideration both a marked copy of your article and a copy of the article as I propose it. The latter will make it easier to catch the flow of my suggestions.

Most of the suggestions are self-explanatory, but let me mention two in particular:

1. Paul suggested that the bottom of page 3 through the top of page 6 could be deleted. It is an interesting point and will be an asset to the book, but for our purposes it is a digression. I am suggesting that you retain the paragraph at the end of page 3, but agree with Paul that the rest of the section through the top of page 6 can be cut.

*Sennholz
Hans*

2. I suggest that the whole section on "An Employer Incentive" can be deleted for our purposes. Since, as you point out, employer experience ratings is a less important issue now, I believe you can leave the discussion for the fuller treatment in book form.

I hope these suggestions meet with your approval. I would value your additional additions, corrections or deletions; merely keeping in mind the space problem. If you think that my suggestions are basically sound, you can either send us the revised copy with your changes or send us a new computer printout.

Don't hesitate to call or write if you have any questions. I would hope to use the article in the May or June issue.

Sincerely,

Charles H. Hamilton,
Editor